UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/541,096 | 06/08/2006 | Kyung-Ku Choi | 81864.0069 | 1153 |
| 26021 HOGAN & HA | 7590 12/04/200 RTSON L.L.P. | 8 | EXAMINER | |
| | OF THE STARS | HARRIS, GARY D | | |
| SUITE 1400 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1794 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 12/04/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Interview Summary | 10/541,096 | CHOI ET AL. | | | | |
|--|--|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | GARY D. HARRIS | 1794 | | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | personnel): | | | | | |
| (1) <u>GARY D. HARRIS</u> . | (3) | | | | | |
| (2) <u>Robert Wu</u> . | (4) | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>03 December 2008</u> . | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2 | 2)∏ applicant's representative | e] | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> . | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Kiktistus 6,602,620. | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g |)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N | I/A. | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed volume ratio of prior art and how Kikitsu discloses two different methods of applying the granular materials see (Col. 5, 6, Line 52-67, & 1-53 respectively).</u> | | | | | | |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) | | | | | | |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW ON THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE | last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, ' | been filed, APP / DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS | LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| /Gary D. Harris/ | | | | | | |
| Examiner Art Unit 1794 | | | 1 | | | |

Application No.

Applicant(s)